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Other than that [relationship with Emperor Hirohito], it does not appear that the Committee of 300 exercises much influence in Japanese affairs.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Every "royal" and so-called European "noble" dynasty past and present has seats on the Committee of 300, most often by way of nominees. For instance, the Hohenzollern dynasty might be represented by Edward the Duke of Kent; the Braganzas by the Duke of York; and so on. There are just too many of these "royal" families for them all to have direct seats on the Committee of 300. But the thing to remember is the order of rank; first the royal family members, then dukes, earls, marquises and lords, then finally the "commoners."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The "House of Windsor" is in reality of the "House of Guelph," one of the Venetian Black Nobility's oldest dynasties. Queen Victoria's lineage can be traced directly back to the Black Guelphs of Venice.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It was on the say-so of Hopkins that Roosevelt gave everything Stalin asked for, made possible by the March 1941 Lend-Lease Act.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Hopkins was selected by the Committee of 300 to sell the economic suicide policies of John Maynard Keynes to Roosevelt who had no idea what Keynes was talking about. Hopkins, an avowed Socialist became paymaster for the Federal Emergency Relief that was unemployment relief handed out by the Roosevelt administration. It was Hopkins who successfully rigged the 1940 elections that gave Roosevelt a third term, an invaluable service for the Committee.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] Rathenau was entrusted by the Committee of 300 to bring Socialism to Germany. [...] Rathenau is one of two men (the other being Dr. Jacob De Hass) we know of who disclosed the existence of the Committee of 300. For his rash act he was assassinated on June 24, 1922.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
It was Dillon who assisted very materially in draining the gold reserves belonging to the people of the United States which, in the closing days of the Eisenhower administration, had become endemic.

Dillon worked with George Ball's wrecking crew in persuading President John F. Kennedy to send more of our dwindling gold stock to European bankers. That Kennedy did not have any understanding of the role economics plays in wars and revolutions, is exemplified by the manner in which he conceded that a large dose of economic strychnine would cure our balance of payment problems. His response to Dillon was an order to liberalize tariff reductions and import quotas, by an all round 50 percent. Dillon was a key player in selling this suicidal program to Kennedy.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Some writers hold that this [JFK Assassination] was a "Mason conspiracy" [...] I believe that the cover up went far beyond any particular society, and was ordered and orchestrated by the very highest echelon of powers.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Assassinating a president is not a Federal crime; it is a State crime hence by law, jurisdiction had to remain with the State of Texas. The Secret Service body snatchers were well aware of the law, but with guns drawn took a calculated decision to violate the law.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The majority of murder cases are solved through the presentation of circumstantial evidence, and this one [JFK Assassination] will one day be added to the list of such cases.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
We know that immediately after his trip to Dallas, Oswald sent an urgent telegram to Hoover in which he warned of the threat to Kennedy. This is not the kind of action an assassin would take just an hour before carrying out his plan. Apparently Oswald was covering himself against involvement in the "simulated" attack.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*

Everything points to the conclusion that Oswald was planted in the Book Depository building and that he believed he was taking part in a simulated attack on Kennedy, on behalf of the FBI or the CIA. Nothing else would make sense. By 1967 most of the key players had been eliminated, including the CIA contingent; Ferrie, Bannister, Ward, Tippit, Ruby and a large number of persons who had in some way been involved with the conspiracy. All died mysterious and sometimes violent deaths. One such person was Rose Cheramie, one of Jack Ruby's close friends.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*

In tried and trusted intelligence technique, an attractive and intelligent woman crossed Oswald's path, quite by happenstance, of course. Only it was not happenstance. The attractive woman was KGB operative Marina Nikolaevna Prusakova whose front was working as a pharmacist at the factory where Oswald was employed. In true romantic style Oswald fell in love with Marina and the two got married.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*

Oswald had somewhere along the line been recruited by the CIA. [...] There can be no question that since June 1962 the "monumentally stupid" Oswald was in the employ of both the FBI and the CIA.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*
All through the history of the United States it can be seen that every time government wanted to do something wrong it created a "villain," a "monster" or a "madman" or both, to justify its own illegal actions against the targeted country.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

According to all the reports filed by the police agencies involved, Oswald had no plans to flee the building and was not on the floor from where the shots were alleged to have come. Instead, he was at a Coke machine on a lower floor when the shots rang out. Several witnesses saw Oswald at this location at the time of the shooting. He must have been astonished to hear the shots, quickly recovered, and realizing he had been set up, fled, before the Pueblo backup team could reach him.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Moreover we should remember that the New World Order-One World Government is a British concept that was being promoted by King George III in partnership with several monarchs of Europe. That plan was unhorsed by the American Revolution.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] Lincoln had sought to uphold and entrench the tariff system put in place by George Washington, to protect American industries from the rapacious "Free Trade" policies of Adam Smith, the economist of the British East India Company.

The deciding moment came when Lincoln imposed a 50% tariff on imported steel, sparking a war between the president and the "300" banking houses of Baring Brothers and the Rothschilds. From that moment on the assassination of Lincoln was assured.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
It is a matter of history and of well-hidden records that Britain was involved in the assassination of four U.S. presidents: Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and Kennedy. It is worthy of note is that each of the murdered presidents had been following policies in direct conflict with British and European geopolitical plans, and what is more, the presidents who replaced them, stopped or radically altered the policies of their predecessors, once they took office.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The order went out, "assassinate Kennedy," soon after Kissinger was kicked out and after Kennedy had made his intentions known to end U.S. involvement in Vietnam, and break the monopoly of the Federal Reserve. Kennedy did this under the false assumption that as the president of the United States, he was beyond the reach of all who might wish to harm him.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[Sir William] Stevenson told Roosevelt that as part of the "special relationship" he was to set up an American intelligence agency under the title of "Office of Strategic Services" (OSS). This was later renamed, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA).

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The job of removing Kennedy was given to Sir William Stephenson, former head of the North American Desk of MI6 [...]

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
He [Kennedy] could not be bribed with unlimited money and unlimited sex both of which he had a surfeit. Let no man vainly imagine that he can take on the Committee of 300 and win, especially not where the control of the money of the nation is concerned. Unbeknown to the American people, the Treasury had made ready for distribution around $4 billion in Treasury Notes. Had these notes been put into circulation, it would have ended the reign of financial terror imposed on the nation by the Federal Reserve Banks.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

His [Kennedy] anger over the Cuba missile crisis, his Executive Order on currency, his order to end the war and get U.S. forces out of Vietnam, and his unwillingness to accept "guidance" from the persons who had placed him in the White House, meant that his usefulness to the "Olympians" had come to an end.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

He [Kennedy] signed Executive Order 11110 dated June 4, 1963, calling upon the Treasury to print and issue directly United States dollars, as opposed to Federal Reserve notes, thus bypassing the Federal Reserve banks. [...] Following his decision to bypass the Federal Reserve, Kennedy began showing that he would no longer accept the dictates of foreign entities[...]

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] Oswald, far from being an unstable person, was a highly intelligent individual who worked in the Office of Naval Intelligence.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The Kennedy assassination was a British Intelligence MI6 operation, in which the CIA and the FBI played substantial and significant roles. One thing we want to make perfectly clear to the American people is that their President was stripped of all security and left naked and defenseless. The Dallas police withdrew its protection as did the Secret Service. The United States Army was specially trained to protect the President, but on November 22nd its offer to protect President Kennedy was refused by the Secret Service.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

IPS had one main function, that being to sow discord and spread disinformation resulting in chaos.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It will be recalled that the Bilderberg Group is a creation of MI6 under the direction of the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Its chief function is to find socialists who it feels will make future promising leaders in all endeavors, social, economic, political and religious, and turn them into top promoters of Socialism.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Both prohibition itself, and the distilleries, who met demand for alcohol were creations of the British Crown, acting through the Committee of 300. It was an experiment which became the forerunner of today's drug trade, and the lessons learned from the prohibition era are being applied in the campaign being waged to legalize "recreational drugs" that will greatly expand the drug trade.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Let us say this [Order of the Garter] is not the original Order founded by the true Christian warrior, Peter Gerard, but like so many fine institutions has been taken over and destroyed from the inside, while yet appearing to the uninitiated, as still the original, fine old Order.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Knights of the Order of the Garter are the innermost circle of public servants who have utterly betrayed the trust placed in them by their people and their nation. The Knights of the Order of the Garter acts as Queen Elizabeth's most trusted "privy council" ("private council"), which is just that. When doing research on the Order of St. John of Jerusalem some years ago, I visited Oxford to talk with one of the Masters who is a specialist on ancient and modern British traditions. He explained that the Knights of the Garter are the inner sanctum, the elite of the elite of Her Majesty's Most Venerable Order of St. John of Jerusalem, with whom she shared some secrets of state and from whom she receives advice.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Cecil clan had controlled the British monarchy since a Cecil became the private secretary and lover of Queen Elizabeth I.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Records of the period show that by 1917, just before the United States entered the war, 94 percent of the British working class bearing the brunt of the war, did not have the faintest idea what they were fighting for, other than the image created by the media manipulators; that the Germans were a horrible race, bent upon invading Britain destroying the monarchy and the nation. Therefore, in consequence, Germany had to be wiped off the face of the earth.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Like its American counterpart (CFR), the Canadian Institute of International Affairs (CIIA) is a child of the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) and it master-minds Canadian politics.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Anyone who dares to try and show proof of British control of Canada is immediately arrested and charted with so-called "hate crimes." [...] Canada, we find, is always first in line when it comes to carrying out Queen Elizabeth's wishes. Canadian troops have fought in every one of Britain's wars, including the Boer War (1899-1903).

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

All three major television networks came as spin-offs from RCA, especially the National Broadcasting Company (NBC), which was closely followed by the American Broadcasting Company (ABC) in 1951. The third big television network was Columbia Broadcasting System (CBS), which like its sister companies, was, and still is, dominated by British intelligence. William Paley was trained in mass brainwashing techniques at the Tavistock Institute prior to being certified as qualified to head CBS.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Nothing happens on Wall Street that is not known to the Bank of England, whose instructions are relayed through the Morgan Bank and then put into action through key brokerage houses, whose top executives are ultimately responsible for carrying out Committee directives.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Insurance companies play a key role in the business of the Committee of 300. Among these are found such top insurance companies as Assicurazioni Generale of Venice (ASG) and Riunione Adriatica di Sicurta (RAS) the largest and second largest companies in the world, who keep their bank accounts at Bank of International Settlements in Swiss gold francs and many of whose largest customers are customers of the BSI in Lugano.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Oppenheimer dynasty of South Africa has accounts in BSI at Lugano; actually the Oppenheimer dynasty is as important as the Rockefellers dynasty. For instance, in 1981, Harry Oppenheimer, chairman of the giant Anglo American Corporation that controls gold and diamond mining, sales and distribution all over the world, stated that he was about to launch into the North American banking market. Oppenheimer promptly invested $10 billion in a specially created vehicle for the purpose of buying into big banks in the United States, among which was Citicorp.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Tavistock Institute, at Sussex University, London, is owned and controlled by the Royal Institute for International Affairs whose "Jewish" in America was Henry Kissinger before it was passed on to the Bush family.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Committee of 300 is for the most part under the control of the British monarch, in this case, Queen Elizabeth II.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Military Intelligence Department Six dates back to Sir Francis Walsingham, spymaster of Queen Elizabeth I for dirty tricks operations. Over hundreds of years, MI6 has established a legendary record which no other intelligence agency can come close to duplicating.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
In the case of President Kennedy, the assassination was carried out with great attendant publicity and with the utmost brutality to serve as a warning to world leaders not to get out of line. Pope John Paul I was quietly murdered because he was getting close to exposing the Committee with his inquiring into the work of Freemasons in the Vatican hierarchy. His successor, Pope John Paul II was publicly humiliated as a warning to cease and desist, an order he obeyed. As we shall see, certain Vatican leaders are today seated on the Committee of 300.

— Dr. John Coleman / The Committee of 300

The Mossad has a tremendous advantage over other intelligence services in that every country in the world has a large Jewish community, which is useful.

The Mossad also has the advantage of having access to the records of all U.S. law enforcement agencies and U.S. intelligence services. The Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI) services the Mossad at no cost to Israel. Citizens of the United States would be shocked, angered and dismayed if ever it was disclosed just how much the Mossad knows about the lives of millions of Americans in every walk of life, even those who are not political in any way.

— Dr. John Coleman / The Committee of 300

These aristocrats decided at the death of Queen Victoria, the matriarch of the Venetian Black Guelph, that in order to gain world-wide control, it would be necessary for its aristocratic members to "go into business" with the non-aristocratic, but extremely powerful leaders of corporate business on a global scale.

— Dr. John Coleman / The Committee of 300

Pornography, less and less will be called "pornography," but "adult entertainment."

— Dr. John Coleman / The Committee of 300
The International Court of Justice at The Hague will be used in increasing measure in the coming years to settle legal arguments of all types.
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] Vladimir Putin came as a surprise to the [Committee of] 300. A tough-minded nationalist leader, Putin promises to be a thorn in the side of the 300, a man with his own vision of Russia.
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
There will be no middle class, only rulers and servants. [...] The system will be on the basis of a welfare state [...] The U.S. Constitution will be abolished and become forbidden reading. [...] Marriage shall be outlawed and there shall be no family life as we know it. Children shall be removed from their parents at an early age and brought up as wards of the state; state property. [...] Women will be degraded through the continued process of "women's liberation" movements. Free sex shall be mandatory. [...] Self abortion shall be taught and practiced after two children are born to a woman. [...] Pornography shall be promoted and be compulsory reading in every school classroom and shown in theaters and cinemas, including homosexual and lesbian pornography. The use of "recreational" drugs shall no longer be subject to criminal penalties [...] Mind-control drugs will be expanded [...] the non-elite masses will be reduced to a level of behavior of beasts, with no will of their own [...] All wealth shall be aggregated in the hands of the elite members of the Committee of 300. Each individual shall be indoctrinated to understand that he or she is totally dependent upon the state for survival. [...] At least four billion "useless eaters" shall be eliminated by the year 2050 by means of limited wars and organized epidemics of fatal rapid-acting pandemics and starvation. [...] The United States will be flooded by people of an alien culture, who will eventually overwhelm the stock of the original Americans who built America [...] No national banks except the Bank of International Settlements and the World Bank shall be allowed to operate. [...] There shall be no cash or coinage in the hands of the non-elite. All transactions shall be carried out by means of a debit card [...] Attempts to trade "old" coins, that is to say, silver coins of previous and now defunct nations, shall be treated as a capital crime, subject to the death penalty. [...] Outlaws who fail to surrender to the police or military, after a declared period of time, shall have a family member selected at random to serve prison terms in their stead. [...] wars of attrition shall take place before the take-over of the One World Government, and shall be engineered on every continent where large groups of people with ethnic and religious differences reside. [...] Ethnic and religious differences shall be magnified and exacerbated; violent conflict as a means of "settling differences," shall be encouraged and fostered.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Ellsberg, Haig, and Kissinger then set in motion the RIIA’s Watergate plan to oust President Nixon for disobeying direct Committee instructions not to invade Cambodia and bomb Hanoi. [...] The fall of Nixon was the biggest coup yet pulled off by the Round Table as an agency and an arm of the RIIA. All the tangled threads lead back to the Round Table; from there to the RIIA, and right back to Queen Elizabeth II. [...] Nixon escaped being assassinated because he was not considered important enough to suffer the fate of John F. Kennedy.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

By the early 1920s, the "300" through the Rothschilds had a stranglehold on the biggest gold and diamond fields in the world. Now the Committee of 300 had at its disposal both the vast fortune coming from the drug trade and the equally vast fortune of the minerals and metals wealth of South Africa.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Queen Victoria mounted the largest and best equipped army, the world had seen up to that time. Since the Boers had no standing army and no trained militia, they were not expected to be a match for Victoria's 400,000 soldiers drawn from the ranks of Britain's underclasses and led by her finest officers. The British Press declared that the war would be of very short duration. Rudyard Kipling also believed that the war would be over in a week or two. Never numbering more than 80,000 the Boer farmers were given no chance. Instead, with rifle in one hand and the Bible in the other, the Boers fought the British to a standstill for three years.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
By 1937 De Beers had stockpiled some 40 million carats, about a 20-years supply. Threatened with bankruptcy, he decided to create a market himself. Oppenheimer sent his son Harry to New York to work with Madison Avenue strategists on a campaign touting the four "C's" of diamond perfection -- cut, color, clarity and carat -- helping sales to increase more than 50 percent in two years. A new custom was declared - diamond engagement rings -- with the slogan "a diamond is forever."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Witness recent history when former President Carter toppled the Shah of Iran: Why was the Shah deposed, and then murdered by the United States government? In two words, it was because of oil and drugs.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

One of the largest assets in the portfolio of Committee of 300 companies is American Express (Amex).

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Morgan interests in the opium/heroin trade have continued in an unbroken line.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The very same British and American families who had combined to wreck the Indian textile industry in the promotion of the opium trade [...] combined to make the trade, a valuable source of revenue. In 1864 they joined forces to create causes for war and to promote the terrible War Between the States, also known as the American Civil War.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Astor family on the advice of James Warburg chose Owen Lattimore to carry on their association with opium trade, which he did through the Laura Spellman-funded Institute for Pacific Relations (IPR).

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
I discovered that Astor had long been an asset of British intelligence MI6 in the United States. Astor's financing of Aaron Burr, the murderer of Alexander Hamilton, proves the point beyond any reasonable doubt.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

India then became utterly dependent upon Britain to earn enough currency to pay for its railroads and finished cotton goods imports. There was only one solution to India's economic woes. Produce more opium and sell it for less to the East India Company. This was the foundation upon which British trade grew and flourished. Without its opium trade, Britain would have been as bankrupt as its Indian captives.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The drug business is not a Mafia operation per se, nor is it run by the Colombian cocaine cartels. The noble families of Britain and America, our top people, are not going to advertise their role in the shop windows; they always have a layer of front men doing the dirty work.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The mechanics of the heroin trade go like this: Wild Thai and Burmese hill tribesmen grow the opium poppy in these countries. In Afghanistan it is the Pushtans who grow poppies in small plots. At harvest time, the seed-bearing pod is cut with a razor or sharp knife. A resinous, sticky substance leaks through the cut and starts to congeal. This is raw opium.

The raw opium is then made up into sticky round balls. the tribesmen are paid in quarter kilo gold bars known as "4/10th" which are minted by Credit Suisse. In India the Baluchi tribesmen who have been in the business since the days of the Moguls are also paid in gold. The "Dope Season," as it is called, sees a flood of gold traded on the Hong Kong market. Standard-one kilo-weight gold bars are traded on the Hong Kong market by the big buyers of raw opium or partly processed heroin.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
It is easy to understand why gold was demonetized and substituted with paper "dollars" as the world's reserve currency. It is not as easy to blackmail a country holding gold reserves, as it is to blackmail one having its reserves in paper.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The current policy of the Chinese government toward England is the same as it was in the 18th and 19th centuries.

The Chinese economy is tied to the economy of Hong Kong, and we don't mean television sets, textiles, radios watches, pirated cassettes and video tapes: we mean opium/heroin. Without the opium trade, which it shares with Great Britain, the economy of Hong Kong would take a terrible beating.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It took a lot of research to link the price of gold to the price of opium. I used to tell anyone who would listen, "If you want to know the price of gold find out what the price of a kilo of opium is in Hong Kong."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Golden Triangle is no longer the largest producer of opium. That dubious title has since 1987 been shared by Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iran and Lebanon. These are the principle opium producers, although smaller quantities are once again coming out of Turkey. Mexico is beginning to supply "Brown Heroin" and will no doubt move up in the business in a few years.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Committee of 300 through the Rothschilds controls the gold-for-opium trade of which Hong Kong is the center. Burmese and Chinese opium poppy growers get paid in gold; they do not trust United States $100 bills. This explains why gold traded in the Hong Kong exchange far exceeds the volume of gold traded on the New York and London exchanges, for example.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Differences between England and China were settled in 1842 when China agreed to cede Hong Kong over to full British control via the Treaty of Nanking. But China turned the tables in 1995 when Britain was forced to return Hong Kong, which took effect in 1997. Other than that, the partners retain their former equal shared of the lucrative opium trade centered in Hong Kong.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Bayer, famous for its aspirins, began production of diacetylmorphine and named the product "Heroin."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It was only in 1729 that the first laws against opium smoking were passed. [...] Not about to lose their lucrative market, the British Crown engaged in running battles with Chines forces, and defeated them. [...] respective Chinese governments realized the value in cooperating instead of fighting with Britain, and this arrangement held during the bloody rule of Mao Tse Tung, so that today, as we have already mentioned, any quarrels that come about are only over the share of the opium trade to which each is entitled. To advance to modern history, the Chinese-British partnership was solidified by the Hong Kong agreement, which established an equal partnership in the opium trade.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Every British monarch since 1729 benefited immensely from the drug trade and this holds good for the present occupant of the throne.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The "lower classes" of British society firmly rejected opium smoking. The plutocrats and oligarchy of London's high society began casting about for a market that would not be so resistant, so impenetrable. They found such a market in China. [...] Where the British East India Company had failed in England it now succeeded beyond its wildest expectation in China, whose teeming millions of poor looked upon smoking opium as an escape from their lives of misery.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Poppy seeds were long known to the Moguls of India, who used the seeds mixed in tea they offered to a difficult opponent. It was also used as a pain-killing drug, which largely replaced chloroform and other older anesthetics of a bygone era. Opium was popular in all of the fashionable clubs of Victorian London and it was no secret that men like the Huxley brothers, Aldous and Julian used it extensively.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

British banks engaged in this terrible [drug] trade are too numerous to provide a full listing, but a few of the top ones are:

- The British Bank of the Middle East
- Midland Bank National and Westminster Bank
- Barclays Bank
- Royal Bank of Canada
- Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank
- Baring Brothers Bank
- Schroeder Bank
- Lloyds Bank

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The Swiss take their Industrial Espionage law very seriously. Remember this the next time you see those advertisements of Swiss ski slopes, beautiful watches, pristine mountains and cuckoo clocks. That is not what Switzerland is about. It is about dirty multi-billion dollar drug money laundering which is carried out by major Swiss banking houses through branches in off-shore havens.

It is about the Committee's "legal" drug manufacturers. Switzerland is the Committee's ultimate "save haven" for money and protection of their bodies in time of global calamity.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

BEIC profits, even in those years, far exceeded the combined profits made in a single year by General Motors, Ford and Chrysler in their opium-profit years.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Remember the British East India Company? Officially, its business was trading in tea! But Lord Palmerston crafted an official opium policy for the company and the British Government enforced it. [...] Later, the British Crown, or Royal Family, joined the East India Company by granting it a charter that enabled the company to fight wars and conduct foreign policy.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The truth is that the supply of Indian opium to China was a British monopoly, an official monopoly of the East India Company and official British policy.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The drug trade, controlled by the Committee [of 300], is a massive criminal enterprise against humanity, but having been conditioned and softened up by years of incessant bombardment by the Tavistock Institute, the public has more or less accepted the changed environment, regarding the drug trade as a problem that is "too big" to handle. This is not the case. If we could twice marshal an entire nation, equip and send hundred of thousands of American soldiers to fight in a war in Europe, if we could defeat Germany, a major power, then we surely can smash the drug trade, using the same WWII tactics. [...] The real reason why the drug problem is not eradicated is because it is run by the highest families in the entire world as part of a coordinated, gigantic, money-making machine.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Incidentally, Adam Smith was the economist employed by the EIC. The son of an English country parson, his "free trade" economic theory was greatly favored as official British policy.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] the Stuart kings had tried to destroy the Magna Carta.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

What is the culture of the United States as anticipated by the Founding Fathers? It is a culture passed on by European settlers who came to a strange land and founded a country based on the Christian Bible and Christian morality. Of the 55 men who framed the Constitution, 52 were Christians.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] the United States now has a monarch with more powers than those possessed by King George III.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Our forbears spoke a common language and believed in a common religion - Christianity, and held common ideals. There were no aliens in our midst; that came later in a deliberately planned attempt to break up the United States into a series of balkanized nationalities, cultures and beliefs. If you doubt this, go down to the East side of New York, or the West side of Los Angeles on any given Saturday and look around you. The United States has become several mini-nations struggling to coexist under a common system of government. When the floodgates of immigration were opened wide by Franklin D. Roosevelt, a cousin of the head of the Committee of 300, the cultural shock caused great confusion and dislocation rendered "One Nation" an unworkable concept. The Club of Rome and NATO have exacerbated the situation. They have succeeded where the Rothschilds failed to split the United States in the disastrous War Between the States (The Civil War).

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

No nation can be "multicultural" and survive. Either we are one culture that being the one laid down for the United States by our Founding Fathers and the generation that followed, or else we will disintegrate into a fragmented, ungovernable society.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Identity cards will be introduced in the U.S. as an "emergency measure." Billed as "voluntary," failure to register for an identity card will carry heavy penalties.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Bush [the elder] administration accused President Hussein of the small nation of Iraq, of all manner of evils, none of which was even remotely true.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Instead, the American people allowed Bush to stay in office and be knighthood by the Queen of England for his perfidious performance in Iraq.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

But Brzezinski made an additional very important point int he U.S. scheme of things: China and Russia were never to be allowed to amalgamate and combine forces. ["]This "land bridge" into Europe" reasoned Brzezinski "would pose a mortal danger for the United States as the only superpower.""

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Or did she [April Glaspie] meet a more sinister fate in case she one day had an attack of conscience and decided to tell the world the real truth, the whole truth about how the Bush (the elder) administration encouraged Iraq to invade Kuwait?

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Saddam Hussein, like so many have done before him, walked right into the trap laid by [April] Glaspie, believing a women would not lie and believing that she had given an assurance that the U.S. would do nothing if his troops invaded Kuwait.

On August 2, 1990, eight days after Glaspie's meeting with the Iraqi President, Saddam Hussein's massed armor and troops invaded Kuwait. Glaspie's appointment followed a period from 1980 to 1988 during which the United States had given substantial covert support to Iraq during its war with Iran. As we now see it, this was a strategy used to gain the confidence of Hussein by pretending to be his friend.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The United States (all 50 of them) have gone downhill so fast since the "Aquarian Conspiracy," (the partial title of the book, a make-over of Willis Harmon's technical report) was written, that today, divorce carries no stigma, suicide is at an all time high and raises few eyebrows, social deviations from the norm and sexual aberrations, once unmentionable in decent circles, are now commonplace discussion pieces and excite no special protest.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Statistics produced by the Institute for Higher Learning show that the reading and writing capabilities of high school children in the United States is lower than it was among high school children in 1786.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

What is not generally known is that the much vaunted British OSS (the forerunner of MI6 and the CIA) was not a product of Sir William Stephenson's genius, but was actually the brainchild of Willi Muzenberg, the most brilliant man in his field the world has ever known.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Today, people believe they are well informed, but what they do not realize is that the opinions they believe are their own, are in fact, created by the research institutions and think tanks of America, and that none of us is really free to form our own opinions because the information provided by the media and the pollsters, never allows the public full access to all information. Moreover, that which is shown is heavily slanted in the direction of the opinion Tavistock Social Science scientists decreed would produce majority approval of the event being portrayed.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
While the Bilderberg organization is an important part of the "300," the Club of Rome (COR) is indeed on a higher level of access to the supreme ruling body.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

As Lewin had discovered, the public believed that women did not lie in crisis situations. [...] Munzenberg declared that using women for propaganda purposes was good strategy, because women were not perceived by the public as capable of telling sustained lies.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

One of Tavistock's chief wreckers of our way of life was Dr. Alexander King, a founder member of NATO, a favorite of the Committee and a leading member of the Club of Rome (COR). King was assigned by the COR to lower the standard of American education by taking control of the National Teachers Association and working in close conjunction with certain members of the U.S. Congress.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Under the guidance of Dr. Kurt Lewin, Tavistock established the OSS, the forerunner of the CIA. [...] Tavistock was behind another major development in providing a detailed study-program that led to the creation of the Office of Naval Intelligence, (ONI) the premiere intelligence service in the United States, one that dwarfs in the CIA in size and scope. [...] Tavistock runs more than 30 research institutions in the United States [...]
According to the testimony of General Mason Van Dyke, Roosevelt sent a cable to one of his most trusted officers on the ground at Pearl Harbor, Lt. Clifford M. Andrews of the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI). The message read (in part) that no defenses were to be prepared for the pending attack on Pearl Harbor:

The Japanese will attack, do not prepare defenses, we need the full support of the American Nation in a war time effort by an unprovoked attack on the Nation.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

President Roosevelt, Secretary of Navy Stimson, and Secretary of War Knox knew what was going to happen at least three months before the Japanese attacked Pearl Harbor. They never told the American people or their commanders at Pearl Harbor, for the simple reason that they wanted to attack the place.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

When in 1950 rumors began circulating at Yale they had a chilling effect on the Yale Eugenics movement and on Prescott Bush, whose name was linked to some of the stories. Bush abandoned his own plans for the White House and began to campaign for his friend, Dwight D. Eisenhower. Complicit in the plan was to run Eisenhower as "a Candidate of the People."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Behind closed doors, Bodmer left his listeners in no doubt that one of the greatest 'broader problems of society' was overpopulation of the world by 'undesirables' and population explosions among black nations urgently needed to be arrested and stopped altogether, and their populations drastically reduced. The sum and substance of Bodmer's remarks left his audience in no doubt that the genetically-engineered retroviruses were the only hope of achieving the desired goal.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The AIDS epidemic had its roots in the Cold Spring Harbor Eugenics Records Office, with its goal of curbing and reducing the birth rate of Negroes, Jews, "defectives" and "the layers upon layers of lower races."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It was on Jupiter Island the the servants of the Committee of 300 restructured and reorganized the U.S. government on British instructions following WWI, and began the march away from constitutional law under a Republican form of government.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It is a matter of recorded fact that the Harriman family through membership of the Committee of 300 put Prescott Bush on center stage in the world of money, political power and prestige that would lead his son, George Herbert Walker Bush, to the Oval Office. How did this come about? Percy Rockefeller who was at Yale in 1900 and Averill Harriman (1913) had searched through the class membership of 1917 to find suitable candidates to take into Wall Street's world of banking and finance, and particularly into the world of Brown Brothers, Harriman, a core company of the Committee of 300.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

John Foster Dulles and his brother Alan are two examples of the control method employed by the "300." The brothers were prime agents for the Committee and between them, controlled the U.S. State Department from 1953 to 1960. It was the Dulles brothers who appointed Illuminati member Dillon to the post of Secretary of the Treasury.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

For example, every one of the candidates who ran for the presidency from the time of Teddy Roosevelt were "hand picked" by the Council on Foreign Relations acting on the instructions of the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA), the conduit through which directives flow.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Instructed and directed by House, Wilson delivered three devastating blows against the Republic of the United States:

- He destroyed Customs Tariffs policy in force since George Washington suggested it as the best way to finance the nation’s monetary needs and pay its debt, and substituted it with the Socialist system of graduated income tax. [IRS]
- He brought legislation that established the Federal Reserve Bank, a central bank forbidden by the U.S. Constitution.
- He forced the United States into WWI over strong opposition by 87 percent of the American people and bankrupted them, a condition in which the American people have remained ever since.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Bush family performed loyal services for the "300" in both world wars. In return they were assured the entry, father and son into the White House.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The Rockefeller’s being "insiders" knew well in advance what was coming [World War I] in spite of fierce opposition from the American people to war with Germany. In 1914 Percy Rockefeller’s National City Bank began its move to take over the U.S. arms industry. This was done by buying into the Remington Arms Company associated with Vickers-Armstrong (a British Arms company) and placing Samuel B. Pryor (a front man for Percy Rockefeller) at the head of the company. The father of Prescott Bush, Samuel Bush, was given a national charge of procuring assistance for Remington and coordinating its relations with the Army.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
With the U.S. spiritually and morally bankrupted, its industrial base destroyed, throwing 40 million people out of work, its big cities ghastly cesspools of every imaginable crime; with a murder rate almost three times higher than any other country and with the highest prison population in the world; with 4 million homeless and corruption in government reaching endemic proportions, who will gainsay that the United States is ready to collapse from within, into the waiting arms of the New Dark Ages of a One World Government?

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The South was at the point where the scale was tipping against slavery. It was slowly dawning on the plantation owners that slave labor was not economic, besides being morally wrong. Slavery was destined to be abolished, whether for economic reasons or moral reasons matters not, but the international intriguers were not going to wait for voluntary abolition to rob them of their trump card.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

With increasing use being made of unconstitutional "executive orders", we now have the unconstitutional situation where the President makes laws, and the Congress rubber-stamps them.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

There were 315,000 slave owners in the Union Army (with 200,000 in the Confederate Army) and the men who walked away from the Union Army were adamantly opposed to freeing slaves. We cite these facts and recorded statistics to point out that the principal cause of the war was not the issue of slavery.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Nevertheless many prominent Northerners were slave owners, among them General Ulysses Grant, while Mrs. Abraham Lincoln had inherited a substantial sum of money from the sale of her father's slaves. Slavery in Washington D.C. remained legal until a full year after hostilities commenced. But in the Southern States (referred to as the "Departments of the South") slavery was supposedly no longer legal from 1861. This came about when Union General Fremont declared martial law in Missouri on August 30, 1861 [...]

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

In any event, one of the reasons for using the word "rebellion" to characterize the complex struggle was that it gave Lincoln the power to call out the Militia. "Rebellion" is one of only three reasons he could do it under the Constitution.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

I am of the opinion, based upon several decades of research, that the American people are the most connived people in the history of the world. They have been "softened up" by agents of the "300," who now feel confident that after 47 years of waging war on the American people, they are ready to submit to the coming New World Order-One World Government.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

It was confirmed by the postwar degradation of personal relationships. Divorce and cheating on one's wife happened more frequently. This beautiful concept of women on a pedestal, women soft and feminine, with a lovely voice filled with cadences, the flower of God's creation, the mystery of womanhood, was a vanishing ideal. In her place came the strident, loud, vulgar stranger with clattering grating speech such as would be aped and made popular by radio broadcasting and later, television shows. Nobody could possibly know that this decline was the end product of Tavistock having declared war on Western womanhood.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
When Brzezinski wrote, *Between Two Ages, America's Role in the Technetronic Era*, he was not writing as a private citizen, but as Carter’s National Security Advisor; a leading member of the Club of Rome, a member of the Committee of 300, a member of the CFR, and a member of the old Polish Black Nobility.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*

The Austrian born Von Hayek has long been under the control of David Rockefeller, and Von Hayek theories have been fairly widely accepted in the United States for some time, especially in "conservative" circles.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*

December 1941 was a godsend for Roosevelt, who had been trying desperately to force the U.S. into the war in Europe on the side of Britain. After the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor the American people were persuaded by propaganda and outright lies, that Germany was the aggressor bent upon invading their homeland and that the assault on Pearl Harbor by its ally, Japan, heralded further planned attacks on U.S. sovereign territory.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*

The propaganda aspects of World War II were similar to those of World War I in that World War II was also started by Britain and financed by the international bankers.

— Dr. John Coleman / *The Committee of 300*
In 1916 President Woodrow Wilson campaigned on the solemn promise to keep "American boys" out of the war in Europe. He knew full well that it was a good campaign tactic inasmuch as 87 percent of the American people were strongly opposed to the war with Germany, and they let it be known in no uncertain terms. Yet, just a year after being re-elected to office, Wilson violated his solemn promise and his oath of office by conscripting the Militia of the States to serve in the war in Europe. Instead of being impeached and removed from office and prosecuted for treason, Wilson remained firmly in power as if he had done no wrong!

Once the U.S. was engaged in the war, Wilson organized a Committee on Public Information, the first of its kind to mobilize American public opinion. This committee proved highly successful, particularly in the sale of Liberty Bonds. And no wonder. The program was written for the White House by Tavistock and was largely directed from London.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Robert Owen played an important part in shaping the course of U.S. politics. Together with Francis Wright they toured the country preaching free love, atheism, abolition of slavery (in conjunction with the "Secret Six") and founded what was probably the first Socialist institution, the Workingman's Party in New York City in 1829. It is important for the reading to understand that Owen's mission to the United Sates was to carry out the "300" agenda:

- To establish Socialism as the forerunner of Communism.
- To destroy the family as a unit by preaching "equal rights" for women and causing division of family members.
- To establish "boarding schools," the object being to separate children from their parents for lengthy periods of time.
- To establish "free love" as an accepted norm together with abortion, "to get rid of an inconvenience" when necessary.
- To establish a movement that would push for the amalgamation of races into a "one Word" mixed and docile population.
- To secretly and clandestinely establish the Luciferian Society. In later years Professor Arnold Toynbee was to head this most secret society, both in England and the U.S.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The Christian Fundamentalist movement was to be a channel for strengthening the state of Israel by identifying with the Israel of the Bible and acceptance of the theory of "God's Chosen People," and by donating very substantial amounts of money to Israel, in what they sincerely believed to be a religious cause in the furtherance of Christianity. This view overlooks the fact that modern Israel was founded as a Zionist political state and not a religious state.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Based upon "Decline and Fall of the West" it called for planned decadence with "stars" leading the way in demolishing the institution of marriage, sex in the context of marriage, profane language, drug taking, and severely immodest dress styles for women. Some of the leading "stars" especially created for this purpose by the Social Science scientists at Tavistock were "Madonna," Kate Moss and Britney Spears. Many of today's so-called "stars" in the movie business, stage and theater, the fashion industry, talk show hosts on such popular series as "Oprah" "Dr. Phil" and game shows such as Bob Barker of "the Price is Right," "Rosie O'Donnell" and Ellen DeGeneres would be unhappy to learn that they are merely the end-product of Edward Bernays (the nephew of Sigmund Freud) H.V. Byron, Dicks, Madeline O'Hare and the Social Sciences "stars" at Tavistock.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

This underscores how Britain has controlled the United States, beginning from soon after the War of 1812, and has continued to exercise control over this country through the policies of the Committee of 300.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Club of Rome is a conspiratorial umbrella organization, a marriage between Anglo-American financiers and the old Black Nobility families of Europe.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
Aurellio Peccei once confided in his close friend Alexander Haig that he felt like "Adam Weishaupt reincarnated." Peccei had much of Weishaupt's brilliant ability to organize and control the Illuminati, and it showed through in Peccei's control of NATO and in formulating its policies on a global scale. Peccei headed the Atlantic Institute's Economic Council for three decades while he was the Chief Executive Officer for Giovanni Agnelli's Fiat Motor Company.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The New World Order is not new, it has been developing under one or another guise for a very long time. Its "father" was the London Mercer Company and its grandfather the London Staplers going back to the German Hansa and the Hansa of Belgium, all the way back to India. From this background came the East India Company, some of whose board members were from the Anabaptist communists.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Italy was chosen as a test-target by the Committee of 300 because it is important to the conspirator's plans as Italy is the closest European country to the Middle East and linked to Middle East economics and politics. It is also the headquarters of the Catholic Church, which the Rothschild's commissioned Weishaupt to destroy.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

In my 1982 expose of this crime, we showed that Aldo Moro, a loyal member of the Christian Democrat Party, was murdered by assassins controlled by P2 Masonry with the object of bringing Italy into line with Club of Rome plans to de-industrialize the country and considerably reduce its population. Moro's plans to stabilize Italy through full employment and industrial and political peace, would have strengthened Catholic opposition to Communism, and make the destabilization of the Middle East, (a prime goal), that much harder for the Committee [of 300] to achieve.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The late Aldo Moro, Prime Minister of Italy, was one leader who opposed the "zero growth" and population reductions planned for his country, thereby incurring the wrath of the Club of Rome commissioned by the "Olympians" to carry out its policies in this regard. In a Rome courtroom on Nov. 10 1982, a close friend of Moro's testified that the former prime minister was threatened by an agent of the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) who was also a Committee of 300 member -- and the U.S. Secretary of State. The witness named Henry Kissinger as the man.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Three of the Committee's earliest targets were Argentina, Italy and Pakistan. Many other nation states were to be obliterated most notably South Africa, Palestine, Serbia and Iraq. Nations states are to be discouraged and their break-up accelerated, especially if they have aspirations of becoming industrialized.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The final objective of the "300" is the overthrow of the U.S. Constitution and the merging of this country, chosen by God as his country, with a godless New World Order-One World Government which will return the world to a Feudal State, far worse than anything that existed in the Dark Ages.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The Davignon Plan I first announced to the U.S. in 1982 is now in full flower; the United States is about half-way to converting to a modern version of a feudal society. Our steel industry is dead; our machine-tool industry is dead. Our manufacturing entities, show making, clothing, light industrial equipment, electronics industries were exported to foreign countries. The American family farm is all but lost to "[Committee of] 300" food controllers like Archer Daniels Midland, Nestle and the Bunge Corporation. We can now easily be starved into submission should the need ever arise. The leader in this drive to establish a totalitarian state, a New World Order inside a One Word Government, is quickly emerging as the United States of America the role it first assumed when the Committee of 300 appointed Woodrow Wilson to the White House.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

And what was the crime of the Iraqi Government and its people? They would not let their oil be stolen from them by Kuwait and the major Western oil companies. The model for the "war" in Iraq was the Anglo-Boer War as we have already stated.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The delegates, drawn from all countries with the exception of Russian and China decide on a wide-ranging set of issues. In what is in fact an open conspiracy against God and man (to use the word of H.G. Wells), which includes enslaving the majority of humans left on this earth after wars, plagues and mass murder have done with them, has indeed become more open.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] assignment handed to Count Etienne Davignon of the Committee of 300 that led to the great betrayal of the American middle class, the NAFTA and GATT treaties and the coming of the World Trade Organization.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
[...] the Bolshevik Revolution having been engineered and financed out of England and the United States is mostly portrayed as an independent movement. That was not the case, as Lenin admitted in March 1922.

At the Eleventh Party Congress of the Communist Party Lenin was foolish to admit that the party was being directed by "a huge bureaucratic machine." He died a few months later from what was suspected as a poison that mimics paresis (syphilis,) which substance shall not be named here. The so-called Bolshevik Revolution was financed entirely with money from Lord Alfred Milner and Kuhn Loeb acting as a conduit for the Rockefellers through their puppet, President Woodrow Wilson.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

In 1702, the East India Company was revamped and became known as the United East India Company and then the British East India Company. The system of operations and banking learned in India was adopted almost in toto by the Bank of England under Norman Montague and the Federal Reserve Bank instituted by Woodrow Wilson.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

By 1830 all of India was under the control of the East India Company, a truly breathtaking achievement.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

In 1661, King Charles II (Stuart) granted the East India Company the power to add to its charter the right to make peace or war with sovereign nations, an extraordinary event without parallel. Here was a private company given powers to make war with sovereign nations!

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
A good deal of attention was paid to the cooperation of the governments of the United States, Great Britain and Germany in bringing the Bolsheviks to power in Russia. It was not long before it became perfectly clear that without the utmost help from these allegedly nominal Christian allegedly free Capitalist societies, the revolutionaries Lenin and Trotsky could not have succeeded.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

[...] Herzl, the founder of Zionism, long ago stated it was the Zionist's goal to capture all of Palestine and make Jerusalem the capital of the world from where a totalitarian regime would rule.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

On June 22, 1922, a scant two months after returning from his victory at Rappallo, Rathenau was shot dead as he was leaving his home in the Grunewald section of Berlin. Rathenau had previously warned that the "300" were in control of world events and this may have contributed to his untimely end.

There is no doubt the murder was committed by British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) agents. [...] It was probably the very first time that any world statesman had dared to disclose that there was a secret government, a secret force controlling the world.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Jacob de Haas mentioned three hundred men were in control of world events, even to selecting the American President such as Woodrow Wilson and delegates who accompanied him to the League of Nations conference in Paris. De Haas was assassinated for informing the world of the giant conspiracy of the Committee of 300, a fate also suffered by Walther Rathenau (1870-1922) murdered because they dared to speak openly of the super Committee of 300 secret rulers.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
I felt that I had reached the point in my research where it could be said with a good deal of certainty that a "master" secret society was in control of world events. That master secret society I found was controlled by a most powerful group that came to be known as the "Committee of 300." Its organization and structure was based on the London Staplers who evolved into the East India Company and became the British East India Company (BEIC). The East India Company was intimately linked to the Black Nobility of Venice and Genoa.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Previously hidden facts clearly established that the French Revolution was organized and run out of England by a small number of members of two or three secret societies, whose members included Jeremy Bentham and William Petty. I discovered that Bentham played a leading role in the East India Company and was the leader of the Philosophical Radicals.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
The Anglo-Boer War should have shocked the world to the core by its fearful brutality and senseless murder of thousands of innocent Boer women and children. Why did the Empire wage a cruel war on this pastoral nation? The answer is simple, and in fact it was never dissembled by the Committee of 300.

It was for the control of the treasure trove of gold, diamonds, precious metals and minerals that lay beneath the barren lands of the Transvaal Republic and the Orange Free State Republic. But instead, as was to become standard practice, with the exception of a few journals, the press kept the truth from the people of the world. By such behavior the magnitude of the crimes committed by the "300" were concealed, and by and large, remains concealed to this very day.

Later, we discovered that a number of secret societies had played a key role in undermining the Boers. Cecil Rhodes was a Rothschild agent sent to South Africa to work with secret societies to secure the gold for the Committee of 300. That was his life's work. He died in 1902 the year the war ended, having accomplished the task given to him by his masters of the "300."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The conclusion I reached was that here was a war [Anglo-Boer War] of the utmost significance, a brutal, bloody war of great cruelty, a war of genocide, a vital part of history entirely swept under the carpet, for the reason that while on the one hand, it clearly revealed the naked, raw fearsome power of the Committee of 300, it also showed what a well-armed relatively small group of determined patriots, could do to blunt that power.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

India House in London was the headquarters of the East India Company (its parent was The London Mercers Company, fathered by The London Staplers) was granted its Charter in 1600 by Queen Elizabeth I. In this grouping is found the Black Nobility banking families of Venice and Genoa. Among these family names is "Sebastian Cabot," the ancestor of the Eastern Liberal Establishment's Cabot family.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
It is this idea of developing nations taking charge of their destiny that is abhorrent to the Club of Rome and its Committee of 300.  
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Kissinger's role in planning wars in the Middle East, Korea and in Vietnam is well known, as is his role in fomenting the Gulf War.  
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Italy is now under the de-facto control of the secret rulers drawn from P2 Masonry. Corporations run Italy.  
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

Have you ever witnessed Queen Elizabeth II perform the ceremonial opening the the British Parliament? There, in full view is the most powerful woman in the world, reputedly the head of the Committee of 300.  
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

One of the executive branches of the Committee of 300 is the Club of Rome (COR). Assigned the task of undermining Christian unity in the U.S., the COR has succeeded in splitting the Christian churches into branches and sectarian beliefs, charismatic, fundamentalists and evangelicals so that the Christian Church in America has become a house very much divided.  
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>

The importance of Davignon lay in the use made of him by the Club of Rome (COR) to deliver a massive blow against the industrial and agricultural might of the U.S. in what the COR termed "a post industrial society."  
— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>The Committee of 300</cite>
With the disclosure that the CIA has been torturing persons taken captive in Afghanistan and elsewhere, there has been much discussion as to whether torture is permissible. [...] 

The answer is there is nothing in the U.S. Constitution that would allow it and the "end justifies the means" doctrine is a doctrine straight from hell. The Constitution is very clear about this ugly behavior, it is prohibited. [...] it is certainly not Christian to torture any persons, whether those persons are U.S. citizens or not.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

In these days of abuse of power by certain departments of government, it is important to know that the three branches of government are not co-equal. The Continental Congress created the Executive and Judicial branches and Congress never intended them to be coequal. Congress has superior powers, especially through its control of the purse strings. Technically, Congress could close down the Executive and the Judiciary by simply refusing to fund both branches.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

We can safely discount so-called "Executive Orders" as these are proclamations forbidden by the Constitution. Only kings of England have the power to issue proclamations; the President of the United States, not being a king has no such power.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
The president does not assume the title of commander in chief of the armed forces simply by being elected to the office. [...] Article 11, Section 2, part 1 of the U.S. Constitution states that the only duties the president has are military duties, when called into actual service; and even then he has no role in planning or choosing reasons for taking America to war, or in ordering the mission of the troops. [...] The declaration of war involves a five-step process and is probably the most complicated part of the Constitution. [...] For a president to say he is the commander in chief, and for this absurdity to be echoed by the news media and most members of the legislature, is a horrible error, which is perpetuated to fool the American people.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

[...] there is no constitutional obligation upon government to protect every person from force or violence (and also no obligation or liability for failing to come to any person so threatened.) [...] The very notion that government, having backed away from an obligation to defend its citizens, can then impose fines and even imprison the same citizens who by the use of arms, ward off attackers, is preposterous.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The only time in our history that Congress has authorized the President to draft citizens into the military service prior to the present emergency (WWI) was during the Civil War and that draft act specifically recited in its title that it was resorted to for the purpose of 'suppressing insurrection and rebellion' which is one of the express purposes for which the Federal Government is authorized to use the militia.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
It is impossible to write about the Korean, Vietnam and Iraq wars without including the role played by the United Nations (U.N.) [...] Without a U.N. there would have been no Korean War, no Vietnam War. Acting under the auspices of the U.N. the Senate approved the unconstitutional Gulf of Tonkin Resolution (it was unconstitutional because it was written by the State Department) after only one day of debate on August 10, 1964.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The problem today is that history is badly neglected -- and it is no longer taught in our schools having been replaced by "social studies" -- so that the majority of new, young, members of Congress simply ignore history, instead of using it as their guide.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Foreign aid is a device instituted by the international banks to fill their bottomless pockets at the expense of the American people so that they will not lose their mineral rights and other benefits accruing to the banks of Committee of 300.

Foreign aid is a backup for the loans banks give these mineral-rich but otherwise impoverished countries, whose people have their national resources stolen from them through this device. Then, if the bank loans sour, there is always "foreign aid" to see that the banks don't lose their shirts on their bad loans.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

If the American people are satisfied with a king in the White House, let them send the Senators and Representatives home and save the people vast amounts of money by not having to pay their salaries.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
When Lincoln issued his Executive Order # 1 declaring Martial law, he committed treason under the 1861 Treason Act.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The term "and subject to the jurisdiction thereof," rules out children born on U.S. soil of parents who are illegal aliens, as such illegal aliens are not "subject to the jurisdiction thereof" (the United States) or "the State wherein they reside." As children follow the condition of their parents, that is, if the parents are illegal aliens, then so are their children.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

History shows that diversity weakens nations, and eventually, it is their undoing.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Moreover, instead of being a so-called melting pot, what is developing in the U.S. is exclusion multiculturalism in which each group seeks to keep to its own habits and customs, all the time demanding "equal rights" for its culture. In effect, the United States has become an extension of China, India, Haiti, Nigeria, Mexico and Pakistan. [...] those of other races who are being admitted must be absolutely willing to adopt the civilization, the heritage and the English language of the Founding Fathers as African-Americans have done and not try to start their own colonies inside the United States.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Immigration, more so legal immigration, is the most pressing problem of 2010, and if the United States does not scrap the Immigration Reform Act of 1965, we will end up with untold millions of non-Founding Fathers immigrants denied entry into France, Britain, Germany, Spain and the Scandinavian countries. [...] The 1965 Immigration Reform Act is an invitation to the world at large to send their unwanted human cargoes to our shores to shove out Founding Fathers Americans.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Nationally, we are afraid to exercise the right of free speech handed down to use by our Founding Fathers lest we fall foul of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU).

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The deceitful Immigration Reform Act of 1965 was deliberately written to change the racial oneness and identity of the United States. [...] As Senator Ervin pointed out during the debate on the 1965 bill, it didn't eliminate discrimination against other nationals, but it ushered in a new era of discrimination against immigrants from Western Europe. Ervin said most emphatically that it was right and proper to defend the immigration quotas of Western European Christian nations against the inroads of non-Founding Fathers nations. He emphasized again and again; it was the former who had built America. [...] Before 1965, in spite of the efforts of the Roosevelt constitutional anarchist "fixers," the bulk of immigrants, 89 percent, were still coming from Western Europe. But in 1965, the trend was reversed: we now have 90 percent of legal immigrants coming from non-Christian non-Western non-European countries. This amazing number of what I call non-Founding Fathers immigrants it twice the number of immigrants allowed by all other countries of the world, combined.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Without attaching ourselves to this cultural particularist heritage -- in accordance with the ideals of the Founding Fathers -- we would never have become a nation in the vision of the Founding Fathers; and if we depart from their blueprint and go on in the way of a multi-ethnic divided nation, we will soon cease to be that people and a nation. We have the examples of ancient Greece and Rome to contemplate.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The cement that bound the Framers of the Constitution together was the fact that all came from White, Western European Christian countries.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

There is no such provision in the Constitution that would oblige the States to pay for legislation passed by star-gazing liberals and I could cite hundreds of examples of such pieces of legislation. The States are not obliged to fund any Federal laws. Yet here we have the State of California stuck with an annual bill of $3.6 billion dollars [...] 

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Remember, voting is not a civil right, but a privilege.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The Justice Department has no constitutional authority to compile a list of "hate crimes." [...] If such a list were to be assembled, it would be the job of the Congress to compile it, not the Justice Department, and even then it would be of doubtful validity. [...] the Justice Department is not the judiciary, nor can it legislate.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
In law one cannot use cognates or synonyms, but the anti-constitutionalists in Congress are good at a play on words they learned from the Communist Manifesto of 1848, and they use the technique all the time, making many flexible, ordinary words, inflexible, which places an entirely different construction on them. [...] We cannot use synonyms or cognates. A law has to be clearly written with no ambiguity attached to it.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Lincoln didn't know that he was being set up by his party to promote the Civil War in the hopes that Socialism would be the main beneficiary; he really didn't understand what was going on, nor that their aim was the destruction of the United States.

Once talk of war got started, Socialists by the hundreds of thousands poured into the U.S. from Europe and infiltrated Lincoln's administration and his party. These "advisors" prompted Lincoln to start the Civil War, which they expected to use as a vehicle to turn the U.S. into a Socialist state. Among these were a large body of Communists, and in 1932, the party became the de-facto Communist Party of the U.S. Roosevelt set a course that would henceforth severely compromise the Constitution of the United States.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

In the 1900, the role of the two political parties began to be reversed.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Of course the much-compromised judiciary tells us that they base the legality of "affirmative action" on the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments, but they fail to bring to our notice that nowhere in these three amendments is "affirmative action" expressed, or expressly implied; thus even if the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments were a part of the Constitution -- which they are not -- never having been ratified, "affirmative action" could still not be predicated upon them. In their haste to force the 14th Amendment through Congress, the secret committee forgot to give the Negro the right to vote and so they had to go back and cobble together the 15th amendment to correct their error, but the 15th Amendment, even more revolutionary and unconstitutional, was never ratified, so the radical Republicans only succeeded in compounding their error.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

In April 1866 the Congress created the so-called "Civil Rights Act." Although unconstitutional it nevertheless had the force of law and established a second class of citizenship requiring perpetual ongoing protection for the Negroes, which added up to more military legislation [...] Congress went on pretending it had given the Negro Constitutional Rights, which was absolutely not the case.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The Second World War was at least ten years in the planning stage and one of the key parts of the plan was that in the aftermath of the war, a supreme body, the United Nations would be set up that would control all nations, and particularly, the United States.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Treaties such as NAFTA, GATT, Club of Rome and NATO are guaranteed to lead eventually to bigger and better (for our controllers) wars and the U.N. is the prime vehicle that guarantees future world conflicts to implement Socialism and eventually, Communism in the shape of a One World Government.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Having failed in their objective to curb German trade domination of Europe with WWI; the British oligarchy decided to have a second go around -- and so in 1921 they attempted to lay the foundations of the Second World War by establishing the League of Nations, a Fabian Socialist concept upon which the U.N. treaty/agreement is founded.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

There is ample evidence that the First World War began in the mind of Lord Grey, Britain's Foreign Secretary, as a solution to end Germany's trade superiority. [...] Lord Grey knew that if Britain started getting the worst of it in the war they were contemplating, the United States could always be called upon to pull their chestnuts out of the fire. With this in mind, Woodrow Wilson was chosen by the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) to become their man for the Oval Office. [...] His [Wilson's] first act was to call for a joint session of the Congress in which he strongly attacked America's Custom tariffs and trade policies (which Lincoln, Garfield and McKinley were assassinated for upholding), and he called for a new round of "free trade" to be imposed on an innocent, unsuspecting American people.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
The Founding Fathers did not believe the primary purpose of their guns was to hunt ducks, but to keep the government in line within the bounds of the Constitution. The Founding Fathers said that armed citizens are a bulwark against a tyrant in the White House.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

A treaty is a compact between sovereign states just as a contract is a compact between individuals. [...] The U.N. is not a sovereign nation and meets none of the criteria for a sovereign nation; it has no land; no borders; no laws to keep out illegal aliens; no stable government obtaining revenue from a stable population; no de jure government.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

All laws in our Republic must be based upon the immutable Constitution and not on some flight of fancy or emotional, personal, reason such as the Panama Canal treaty, which was passed solely for the benefit of David Rockefeller.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

It is worth noting that the renegade President Wilson granted a U.S. passport to the Bolshevik leader, Leon Trotsky, a peculiar privilege which was not his to give. This unconstitutional action by Wilson, led directly to the Bolshevik Revolution.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The members of the Security Council of the U.N., other than the U.S. delegate, are aliens and the executive pretends to give these aliens special powers and privileges over U.S. citizens.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Judges are constantly trying to isolate amendments and clauses of the Constitution, but every part of the Constitution has to be read and interpreted in the light of the whole. It cannot be fragmented; otherwise the perfect equipoise of the Constitution is lost.

— Dr. John Coleman / *What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights*<cite>

One of the most dangerous developments of modern times is the presumption by the judiciary that somehow, along the way it acquired a power to legislate, even though the Constitution expressly forbids to the judiciary to make laws, their only function being to interpret laws made by the Congress.

— Dr. John Coleman / *What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights*<cite>

Where it [separation of church and state] is briefly stated in Article 1 of the Bill of Rights, the so-called "religious freedom" clause, even the most cursory examination reveals that it was lifted directly out from Virginia State Constitution at the time the Federal Constitution was written. The Supreme Court knew this, but went ahead and gave it an entirely different meaning from the intent of the Founding Fathers. The Supreme Court knew Virginia State Constitution made it plain that what the Founding Fathers did when they put this clause into the Bill of Rights was to make sure that no single Christian sect would have dominance over other Christian beliefs, if it should become the State religion.

— Dr. John Coleman / *What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights*<cite>
In the year 212, Caracalla (Caracallas) passed the Edict of Caracalla, which granted citizenship to all free men in the Roman Empire. His actions caused anger and dismay because, hitherto, citizenship had provided a distinction between Roman-born and foreigners, which was very much prized by the former class. With the extension of citizenship to all and sundry, citizenship was cheapened to the point where it no longer had any value. This is reckoned among historians as one of the main reasons why Rome collapsed just as the United States is in the process of collapsing for the same reason.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The granting of citizenship to unqualified persons destroyed ancient Rome and will eventually also destroy the United States of America.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

After she [Florence Kelley] returned from England and Germany where she was lauded by the Socialists and Marxists, Kell[e]y received a letter from Karl Marx dated January 27, 1887, in which he instructed her how to socialize education in America and how to introduce Socialism "into the flesh and blood of Americans."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

There is no such thing as "Federal Courts" or "Federal Judges" expressed in the Constitution. [...] Washington D.C. and the U.S. territories are the only locations where Federal Courts can operate if we obey the Constitution.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

There is no such thing as "Separation of Church and States" in the Constitution and The Bill of Rights.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Whipsawed by the press, with the terrible WWII still fresh in their minds, Roosevelt and the Tavistock Institute knew that time was ripe for the American people to be duped and connived into believing that the U.N. agreement was a peace plan.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Another bogus treaty is the U.N. treaty. It would have taken two constitutional amendments to make U.S. "membership" of the U.N. lawful.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The U.S. Constitution is superior to all treaties, which are like any other law and can be abrogated by a variety of means, whereas the Constitution cannot be abrogated.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Perhaps one of the most serious of many serious violations of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights came about through President Theodore Roosevelt's twisting and squeezing the Constitution in defiance to the 10th Amendment, when the Federal Bureau of Investigation was established by an unconstitutional so-called "Executive Order." [...] The 10th Amendment forbids FBI activities in the States except in such places as Federal Government institutions, forts, magazines (ammunition storage dumps) etc., Chief Justice John Marshall so stated.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
The Federal Department of Education is just one of a large number of government agencies operating outside of the Constitution, which are not mandated by the Constitution. [...] It would take at least two amendments agreed to by all of the States to make the Federal Department of Education a part of the Constitution and secondly, to allow it to override State education laws.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Clearly the Founding Fathers intended the States to be in full control of health, education, and police protection and not subject to Federal Government interference. In the clear and unmistakable language of the 10th amendment there is no such thing as a grant that would permit a "federal" police force interfering with law enforcement in the States. No Federal Health Department and Education Department can operate in the States. These "police powers" belong with the States and were never relinquished to the Central Government at the time of the Union.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

These State powers are health, education, welfare, family affairs and police protection. They are always collectively referred to as "the police powers of the States."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

[...] Law 12, U.S.C., exempts the privately owned and controlled Federal Reserve Bank from paying income tax.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The Rothschilds held the bonds for most of the Civil War debt, and they resolved to keep the American people in perpetual servitude.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
After 1913, the House and Senate voted on numerous occasions to strengthen the Federal Reserve's grip upon the American people by sanctioning such measures as the Monetary Control Act of 1980, signed into "law" by President James Earl Carter. This measure like those before was deceptively titled. What the Monetary Control Act actually is a device to keep the Federal Reserve charter from lapsing, the fear being that Congress would one day awake from its torpor and cancel it.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Federal Reserve notes are not legal tender because there has not been a constitutional amendment to make them legal tender "in obligation of contracts" as the Constitution says. In short, Federal Reserve notes are the equal of fiat money.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The bottom line is that there is no provision in the Constitution for a central bank and that is what the Federal Reserve Bank is.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Thus, from the very outset there were a few Congressmen who realized that the Federal Reserve Act was a gigantic fraud and that the Federal Reserve banks would be a den if thieves. The vote in favor of the act was strongly opposed by the Democrats. All the Senators and all but two Representatives in the House voted against the act.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Also, few of our current members of the House know how to draw up a constitutional declaration of war, which is a deliberately complicated procedure; a secretive procedure designed to curb hothead presidents like Bush from rushing the U.S. to war.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The oft-repeated statement that the president automatically becomes the commander in chief of the Armed Forces as soon as he is elected to office is a willful misrepresentation of what the Constitution actually says. Also, he has no power to declare war as stated in the Congressional Record, House December, 1945, which talks about the U.N. illegality:

It (the U.N. treaty/agreement) provides that the power to declare war be taken away from the Congress and given to the president.

President Bush acted as if he has a right to declare war under the Aegis of the U.N. [...] The president doesn't retain the title of commander in chief ad infinitum. [...] The president is not a king.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Roosevelt did not pull America out of the post 1929 depression. Rather, he perpetuated it. [...] The so-called War Powers Act of 1933 was part and parcel of Roosevelt's plan to get around the Constitution and to take additional powers to which the Executive branch was not entitled.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Roosevelt brushed aside the Constitution; to him it didn't matter that he was trampling the Constitution underfoot; his goal was to put Socialism on a firm footing in the U.S.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

[...] many legislators and the public at large believed then [World War I], and still do today, that the president is automatically commander in chief of the Armed Forces, a fraudulent trick pulled by almost every president since Wilson. It is worth repeating: The Framers specifically and deliberately excluded war powers for the president.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

So-called Executive Orders are null and void and of no force in law, being proclamations, which are forbidden by the Constitution. Only the monarchs of England can issue proclamations. An Executive Order, no matter how it is drawn up or who signs it, is a proclamation, and is therefore of no effect, and the citizens of the several States are not duty-bound to obey one jot of such an order. President Lincoln was the first to violate the Constitution in this manner. [...] Before his death, Lincoln admitted that his power grab was unconstitutional.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The War Powers Act is unconstitutional [...] The Framers debated war powers for three weeks before intentionally and deliberately withholding any war powers whatsoever from the president. [...] There was no emergency when Roosevelt falsely demanded war powers because WWII had not yet begun. [...] There was absolutely no way Roosevelt could have "suspended" the Constitution.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
It is not "racist" to state the historical fact that the fifty-five men who met in Philadelphia were all of Anglo-Saxon heritage. Hence it follows that the United States Constitution was written in the light of their common nationality and hereditary background and culture, and became in the document they wrote and produced, the identity, heritage and culture for the new United States.

The peoples of Europe, particularly England, Ireland, Scotland, Germany, France, Norway, Sweden, Denmark— the Nordic-Alpine people, desired to emigrate to the "new country" and when this desire reached other non-European nations a century or more later, there was planted an alien seed, which has grown to grave proportions in a manner never intended nor envisaged by the Framers of the Constitution.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

What does the Constitution say about "instant citizenship" for the children of illegal aliens? As always, scholars and students have to search and diligently study the <i>Annals of Congress</i>, the <i>Congressional Globe</i> and the <i>Congressional Record</i> on the subject:

"The child follows the condition of the parents."

[...]

Persons who are citizens of other countries and who cross into the U.S. illegally are outside of U.S. law.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
The motive of the conspirators is obvious. It is the same as found in the revolutionary 1965 Immigration Act, which flooded the United States with millions of people of Far and Near East Africa and South American countries, while at the same time choking off immigration from Founding Father countries (Britain and Western Europe).

What was their aim? It was to turn the United States into a hybrid nation, a so-called "multicultural society" [...] in which "democracy" is pushed to its outer limits [...] In place of the confederated Republic has come, slowly at first, mob rule, as Plato called it [...] — Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Through Article I, Section 2, Part 2 of the U.S. Constitution, the House and the Senate has the power to reverse any U.S. Supreme Court decision by a simple majority vote of both Houses. — Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

[...] unrestricted immigration from non-Founding Fathers countries is the third tool being used to permanently change the very face of America. — Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Abortion is a Communist doctrine brought to the United States by the notorious Madame Alexandra Kollontai (1872-1952), a functionary in the Soviet government. — Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
In all of my years of study at the British Museum, which included a study of ancient nations, their civilizations and their cultures, I did not find among them a nation that attempted to legalize mass murder of their unborn infants. In fact the Egyptian and Babylonian priesthood did not practice mass murder; they murdered only selected child victims for ritual sacrificial purposes.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

When the U.S. Constitution is silent on a power, then the power sought cannot be taken.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

[...] the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments are not part of the Constitution and that all laws based upon them and are fraudulent.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

I have read thousands of debates in the Annals of Congress, the Congressional Globe and Congressional Record. I found, over and over again, the unwarranted assumption that the 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendments were constitutionally ratified by the States, when this is entirely wrong. I also found proof in these records showing that the 13th, 14th and 15th are fraudulent, as is the Reconstruction legislation that went with them. As the debates at the time show, States rights and State sovereignty were trampled by these amendments.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

We, the People, are the only people on the face of the Earth who have a document that says we can get rid of government when it violates the Constitution which was written to protect the sovereignty of the people.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
In the case of the American people and their Constitution and the Bill of Rights, ignorance of it will eventually lead to their enslavement. What is most remarkable is that anyone can run for office at any level of government without knowing the first thing about the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. [...] How is it that we elect people to the House and Senate who are constitutionally unqualified to make laws?

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

That President Wilson was completely under the control of British intelligence MI6 is evidence din the remarks made by Mandel House, his day-to-day controller.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Before 1937 the Supreme Court rejected all of Roosevelt's New Deal statutes, but after 1937, every single "New Deal" statute was ruled constitutional. Benjamin Wright, a noted authority on the Supreme Court, wrote in 1942:

No event or series of events has ever produced so many changes in constitutional doctrine within so short a time. In the four subsequent terms of the Court the reversals and distinctions have been so numerous and so sweeping that today much of the constitutional law of 1936 appears to belong in a different constitution.

[...] Bruce Ackerman held that, the Constitutional Revolution of 1937 fundamentally altered the character of the Court's business, the nature of its decisions and the alignment of its friends and foes.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
By now it is fairly common knowledge that the Federal Reserve Act; the Income Tax Act; the United Nations treaty/agreement, sending American troops to participate in wars outside of our national geographical boundaries; breaking down of morality and family values; opposition to Christianity; the introduction of homosexuality and lesbianism and their advent into the open public life of the nation are all unconstitutional.

Other notable examples of many unconstitutional "laws" are gun control, membership of the UN, NAFTA, GATT, the World Trade Agreement [...]

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The German Lutheran Church was also firmly established as were many other offshoots and sects of the Anglican Church, but the important point to remember is that they were all Christian churches. The fallacy of "Separation of Church and State" and "religious freedom" meaning freedom for all non-Christian religions to have equal place with Christianity, grew out of a judiciary that overstepped its bounds in attempting to legislate.

A look back at the period shows that far from erecting a "wall of separation" between Church and State, the Founding Fathers were only making sure that no one branch of the Christian religion dominated the other, or -- became the Church of the State. All else is fantasy and the product of the imaginations of those with no grounding in the Constitution and determined to undermine it.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Man has always sought to enslave and dominate his fellow men. The Constitution and the Bill of Rights were specifically designed to prevent such a calamity.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
The judiciary is not co-equal with the Congress, which can, if it wishes, refuse to fund either the executive or the judiciary. Congress can overturn any acts of the judiciary and the Constitution has made certain that the limited powers of granted the executive would never allow a president to "stand where kings stood."

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

The French Revolution did nothing to break the power of the central government except to move it into the hands of even more ruthless tyrants.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

We should realize from this that the Constitution was not written for government, but for the people as a means of preserving their sovereign integrity.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

It is generally recognized that the early settlers who immigrated to the American continent did so with the purpose of escaping strong central government.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

Our people [Americans] have been poisoned by "democracy;" by "tolerance;" by "brotherly love;" by "internationalism;" by "compromise;" all of which doctrines are straight out of the Communist Manifesto of 1848.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>
Aristotle, the teacher of Alexander the Great; was once asked how much educated men were superior to those who were not educated. And Aristotle replied: As much as the living are to the dead.

— Dr. John Coleman / <cite>What You Should Know About the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights</cite>

## COMMENTS (1)

Crackdown (/users/crackdown): Interesting discussion about music, copied from Youtube (/forum/must-read-methods-selfprotection-psychoenergetic-attacks#comment-25725) 2 years ago

@TillToTheWhen (/users/tilltothewhen) (view comment (/comment/25627#comment-25627))

under this video - http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hngxXlhHPVg
(http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hngxXlhHPVg)

P1: As a person with several degrees in music and having done a great deal of research to write my thesis and a dissertation, I can tell you that there is much more to this than just the lyrics. The music itself is used as a brainwashing tool. The ancient Greeks called it the Doctrine of Ethos. How music is conceived (the melody, harmony, and rhythm) has an actual physical effect upon your body and mind. Today the discovery of that effect has led to the development of the field of musical therapy. Music has also been used by people like Hitler for brainwashing. So there is much more to this than the authors of this video even know.

P2: (replies to P1) Indeed sir....you are quite correct. There are not many forms of media that are more effective than music...when it comes to mind control (positive or negative). You only have to look at 'jingles' used in advertising to realise their power over the human mind. I think that music can actually alter the physiology/physicality of the brain and the body....your mind and body can be entrained via music and rhythm etc. Preston Nichols wrote some interesting pieces on music and the human brain/body...outlining phase and pulse position and the relationship it has with the human mind/listener etc.

P1: Yes, music can alter the physicality of the brain and body. Rock music creates a rewiring of the brain called, (by certain authors) "switching." The accented beats of the rhythm within the meter can alter the beating of the heart and setting it in contradiction with its normal behavior, causing distress on the body. Coupled with the lyrics in the music, this breaks down the resistance of the brain to the suggestions within the lyrics. This is why this music can cause rebellion and even violence. The added amplifying of the sound through electronic devices creates a situation whereby the sound bypasses the ear and goes directly into the body, affecting bones, organs, etc. through its vibrations. This is a very simplified explanation of everything that is going on.

P3: research the Tavistock Institute. This is the think tank for controlling media & music business. There is a book by a ex British intelligence agent Dr John Coleman explaining this.