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Introduction – ACTD Transition

Challenge

Transition Mgr’s Course

• ACTD Master Plan assumes that the transition of a successful ACTD will flow smoothly via the transition manager (XM) and in accordance with revised DoD Inst 5000 series/Title X.

• Question: What if it doesn’t?
  ➢ Lead Service sees ACTD as competition
  ➢ Acquisition Community’s Bureaucracy won’t budge
  ➢ Lead Service is on board but has different idea.
    ✷ Wants to go into EMD and modify end product
  ➢ Lead Service disagrees with joint employment concept.
  ➢ Lead Service does not want to provide funding or support acquisition
ACTD Master Plan assumes that the transition of a successful ACTD will flow smoothly via the transition manager (XM) and in accordance with revised DoD Inst 5000 series/Title X.

Question: What if it doesn’t?

- Lead Service sees ACTD as competition
- DOD’s Self Perpetuating Bureaucracy won’t budge
- Lead Service is on board but has different idea.
  - Wants to go into EMD and modify end product
  - Lead Service disagrees with joint employment concept.
  - Lead Service does not want to fund the transition program.

Infamous excuses for why we shouldn’t do ACTDs
- They don’t transition anything
- Don’t conform to existing processes
- They are “ugly babies”, not 100% solution.
- They are just too hard
- I just don’t want to, my head hurts

OSD developed Transition Guidance to:
- Formalize Transition Policy
- Document COCOM issues and concerns
- Capitalize on Lessons Learned
- Establish process to protect joint equity and insure ACTDs are rapidly fielded.
- Mitigate problems associated with the “reasons why not to do ACTDs”.

By order of: Paradigm Police Dept

ACTD
SD/JFCOM Initiatives
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- JCTD Proposal
  - Defense Acquisition Executive (joint champion/broker)
  - Larger percentage of funding for ACTD
  - COCOM greater say in ranking
- Counter Terrorism Task Force (CTTF)
- Technology Transfer Initiative (TTI)
- Rapid Equipping Force (REF)
- USJFCOM Executive Agent for Interoperability and Integration (DOTMLPF Change Recommendation)
- Management Process changes
  - Service integrated early in CONOPS, Assessment
  - Service participates in Requirements Validation and Transition Planning
  - Service OT&E involved early (parallel OT, data collection, evaluation)
  - Shifting allocation of funding (greater percentage early until Service can POM)
Technology Transfer Initiative

TTI is intended to accelerate the introduction of new technologies into operational capabilities for the armed forces. TTI can successfully demonstrate new technologies in relevant environments.

S&T and acquisition executives of each Service/Defense Agency and COCOM nominate projects to be funded. The appropriate acquisition executive can share the transition cost. Service/Agency contribution can be up to 50% of the total project cost.

Criteria for TTI:
- Technology developed with S&T funding
- Product has buyer with funds available to purchase it in later years
- Preferably Joint or Multi-Service project (2 or more Services/Agencies)
- Cost sharing between TTI and Service/Agency is encouraged to leverage funding
- A project cannot be funded for more than four years.

http://www.acq.osd.mil/ott/tti/
Technology Transfer Initiative
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http://www.acq.osd.mil/ott/tti/
• **Limited Acquisition Authority for USJFCOM**
  ➢ Through 2008
  ➢ J8A - Maj Gen Rajczak, USAF

• **Joint DOTMLPF Change Support**
  ➢ J8 - Maj Gen Hostage, USAF

• **Office of Prototype Oversight**
  ➢ COS - RADM Wachendorf, USN

• **J8 Transition Management**
  ➢ J8-ACTD - Daryl Wynn/Gregg Koumbis

• **USJFCOM Office of Research and Technology Applications (ORTA)**
  ➢ USJFCOM- Dr. R. Richards
• Transformation Planning Guidance (TPG), Signed by SECDEF 2003, assigned USJFCOM authorities to accelerate joint initiatives of COCOMs, Services and Defense Agencies to meet immediate needs of Joint warfighter

• SASC Report 108-46 for FY 04 proposes limited acquisition authority for USJFCOM to acquire capabilities with RDT&E levels up to $10M and/or procurement levels up to $50M for purpose of facilitating joint operations or enhancing interoperability

• Provides the ability to conceive, develop, and field capabilities which directly support urgent joint warfighting requirements (i.e. Joint Battle Management Command and Control, Joint Fires, Blue Force Tracking and Combat Identification)
• **Limited Acquisition Authority allows**:
  - CDR/USJFCOM to acquire, and expeditiously field solutions to COCOM near term, joint warfighting or interoperability shortfalls

• **LAA Authorized Equipment**:  
  - Equipment for C2 and Intelligence  
  - CDR determines necessary for facilitating Joint Military OPS or interoperability of Joint Force Components’ equipment

• **LAA Limitations “per system”:**  
  - RDT&E: $10M Max  
  - Procurement: $50M Max
• **LAA - Four Phase Process (60 - 90days):**
  - **Submission, Assessment & Approval, Planning and Execution**
    - C/S/A submit outlining warfighting deficiency/desired operational capability
  - **Shortfall Validation, TECH Evaluation, Funding Availability, Recommendation & Priority**
    - J8 lead feasibility study, assign priority and coordinate Directorate validation
  - **POA&M Development, Staff Review, Sponsor Designation, Out Year Funding Stability**
    - Legal, CAMO, CIO and Comptroller review and input to CAE for final review
  - **Contracting, Oversight, Reporting, Transition to Program of Record**
    - CAE oversee and report on execution until POR transition
Initial Phase 1
FY04 LAA Candidates

- Collaborative Information Environment (CIE) support to CJTF 7 in Baghdad
- Joint Precision Air Drop System (JPADS) ACTD
- Joint Area Clearance (JAC) ACTD
- Rapid Attack Information Dissemination/Execution Relay (RAIDER)
Joint Precision Air Drop System (JPADS)

- Background: Field (50) 2,000 lbs precision, high altitude, aerial delivery systems for SPECOPS and other priority missions. Provides capability to deliver supplies to forces in deployed areas not safely supported by extended lines of communication.

- Discussion: Proposal will accelerate delivery of 50 reusable payload systems.

Three issues:
- Requirements: SOCOM and CENTCOM have expressed immediate need.
- Development: Technically feasible (Low Risk), however without funds will not be developed before FY 2009.
- Schedule: Can produce and deliver for operations 7 months from approval.
- NOTE: No other program or Service is developing capability. Negotiated with USA to POM in FY06.

- Cost:
  - System purchase: $4.61M
  - Testing: $2.0M
• **Background**: Field up to 5 Change Detection Systems for thermal mine detection to be used in Afghanistan and/or Iraq in direct support of USMC operations.

• **Discussion**: HQ USMC has requested immediate production and deployment of up to 5 airborne, thermal change detection systems. Systems will be used in direct support of JTF detection of enemy deployment of mines and improvised explosive devices. USMC have already modified aircraft for its installation.

• **Cost**:
  - $1.2M total required for 5 systems.
  - USMC have identified $600K.
  - Require $600K in LAA funds.
## JFCOM Limited Acquisition Authority Process (and Notional Timelines)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 0</th>
<th>Phase I 15-30 Days</th>
<th>Phase II 30 Days</th>
<th>Phase III</th>
<th>Phase IV 30-180 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proof of Concept</td>
<td>LAA Request</td>
<td>Feasibility Study</td>
<td>POA&amp;M Development, Staff Review and J8(JII) approval</td>
<td>CIF: 60-90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Validation CDRUSJFCOM Approval/ Disapproval</td>
<td>ID Resource Sponsor for POR</td>
<td>Existing Contract: 30-60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assignment of Priority</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Contract: 60-180 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Start DAYS

| 120 | 150 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 90 |
What is DOTMLPF?

A material-only solution is insufficient for creating a warfighting solution. Synchronization across the DOTMLPF spectrum is required.
Material only Solution is Insufficient

DOTMLPF Synchronization Addresses
- What needs to be done...
  - When does it need to occur..
    - How does it impact other areas...

Increases Probability of a Successful Solution in the Field

Joint Interoperability and Integration (JI&I) is the Chairman’s Champion needed to ensure synchronization across the DOTMLPF spectrum
Joint DCR (DOTMLPF Change Rec)
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• OM Draft after first CONOPS Cell

• Discuss how new capability impacts:
  ➢ doctrine, organization, training, material, leadership, personnel and facilities
  ➢ identify solutions
  ➢ identify resources required

• Validate through COCOM J8

• Submit via JS Knowledge Management Decision Support (KM/DS) System for JS review and comment

• Brief to JROC

• If approved, may be funded

• References: CJCSI 3170.01E, May 2005, CJCSM 3170.01B
Prototype Originator Activities

- Identify, validate and document joint requirements/need
- Develop all plans and documents (Management, Technical, Transition, JCIDS, Acquisition, etc)
- Oversee technical development and integration
- Draft CONOPS, TT&P, architectures
- Recommend transition options
- Coordinate/execute integration and interoperability activities related to certifications, validations, accreditations
- Manage contracting requirements, as needed
- Develop Budget and Spend plans
- Broker funding with potential sponsors.
- Daily coordination with POR, Acquisition authorities, FCBs, other Labs
- Coordination with gaining units, organizations (C2 plan)